## AUSTRALIAN SPECIES OF LISTROPHORIDAE CANEST (ACARINA) WITH NOTES ON THE NEW GENERA

By H. Womersley; F.R.E.S., A.L.S., South Australian Duseum

No records of this family of Acarina from Australia appear to have been published since the original description of the following two genera and species:

I Chirodiscus anple.rans Trt, and Neum, 1890 (Bnll. Sci. Fir. Belg.. 22, 392, 11. 21) from Podargus strigoides (Tanny-shouldered Frog-mouth or Mopoke) from South Australia.
2 Campvochirus chclopus Trt. 1893 ( (--R Soc. Miol. Paris, 45, 699) from Pscudocheirus conrohta Oken $1816=$ cookii Desm. 1818 (Ringtail Possum) from 'lasmania.
Both these records are repeated in Canestrini and Kramer's monograph on the "Demodicidae and Sarcoptidae" in "Das Tierreich," 1.fg. 7. 27 and 28, 1899, lut the first is omitted from Rainbow's "Symopsis of Australian Acarina (Rec. Atrstr. Mus. 6, (3). 1906). Canestrini and Kramer (loc. cit., 29) regard the occurrence of C. amplexans on a bird (Podargus strigoides) as accidental and suggest that its true host was most probably a species of marsupial.

Recontly (. Gunther (Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S.W., 67 ( 3 and 4), 109. 1942), in erecting a new genus $N$ colabidocarpus for his species (Labidocarpus butotocnsis (iunther 1940 (ibid $65,(3$ and 4 ), 353 ) has subdivided the family into a number of well-defined subfanilies and published a key to the subfanilies and genera based upon that of Ewing (Manual of External Parasites, 1929).

Unfortunately, scveral errors and omissions have crept into his paper which need to be corrected.

In the first place. in his lees, in caption 3. the words "Body depressed" have been transposed with "Porly compressed" in the next line. As given by Fxwing 1929, however, these respective statements are in their correct place and the error in Gunther's key is probably one of transcription.

In this table of generic distribution and hosts, he is in error in giving the locality of Listrophoroides IIirst as Orange Free State. West Africa; that given by Hirst was Accra, Gold Coast. No refercuce is made to Ferris' record of a species attributed to Listrophoroides from the Marguesas (Marqucsan Insects, (1), Bull. 98, B. P. Bishop Museum, 1932), nor does Gunther seem to have been aware of a species, also attributed to the same genus, described by Radford 1939 (Parasitology, 31) from Cape Town. As will be shown later, however. the first of these species does not belong to this genus.

Ewing (1929) synonymises I Tirst's Chirodiscoides 1917 with Cumprlochirns Trt. 1893, and although he is possibly correct in this, Troussart's original work is not available to me and lirst, unfortunately, does not describe the dorsal surface and has only fignred (in 1922) the ventral surface of the male of Chirodiscoides cariac from guinea pigs.

Listrophoroides acthopicus Hirst 1923 is, so far, only known from the male, and here again in his original description he neither mentions nor figures the dorsal surface, so that it is not possible without reference to the type slide to say whether it has any dorsal scuta or not. Radford also (1939), in describing Listrophoroides bathycrgians from a male from Cape Town ignores, both by clescription and figures, the dorsal surface, while his figures of the ventral surface of both sexes leave much to the imagination.

Ferris, however, in his paper gives some excellent detailed ligures of his species Listrophoroides expansus showing both dorsal and ventral aspects. He was rather doubtful about the placing of his species in the genus, and it certainly does require a new genus, although one cannot point at present to the differences of the dorsal surface between this and Listrophoroides Hirst.

Key to the Sulfamilies and Genera of Lastrophoridae
1 I.egs I and II modified as claspers.
Leg.: I1I and IV modified as claspers.
Wrocoptimac Gunth. $19+2$
9
Maxillac modificel as claspers.
Listrophorinae Gunth. 194210
2 Legs I and II with caruncles, mot higlily modified. Sometimes with accessory claspers.
.Hopomelinae Guuth. 1942
Legs 1 and $I I$ without carneles, highly modified. Without accessory claspers.
Labidocarfimuc Gunth. 1942
3 Body compressed. Sternal region between coxae If and III with clan-like accessory claspers. Leg of $\delta$ very large.

Gen. Atopondhus Trt. 1918 Body depressed. Stemal region without claspers.

+ All coxar widely scparated medial:y. Pa!pi 2-segmented. Three dursil souta.
Gen. Marquesania nov. for Listrophornides Ferris 1932 nee Hirst 1923 Coxale I and II touching medally, large, imer areas striated, 1 II and IV widely separated.
All coxau tonching in medial line. without striations. Front $k g_{g}$ not unduly fattened and incurved or how-like. Leg IV sherter in $\delta$ than $o$, and thicker. Dorsilly. with a single anterior scutumb. Palpi 2-ecgmented. Gen, fustrochirns nov.
5 1.cg: 1 and [1 strongly fiattenced and incurved hook lle on apical segments; tarsus IV als, incurved and hook-like. Dorsal scuta? Gen. Campllochirns Trt. 1893 $=$ Chirodiscoles Hirst 1917
Lags I and If wot flattened or markedly- incurved: tarsus IV normal. Coxae 11 with stont hlunt inner tooth. Dorsal scuta: (ien. Lishiophoroides Hirst 1923

6 Body depressed.
nee Ferris 1932
Borly compressed.
7 I.:gs: I and 11 broadened distally into a truncate showel-like shape.
Gen. Schizocarpus Trt. $18 \%$ $=$ Haptoseme Kramer 1890

Legs I and JI alont same widtl for most of their length, 1 -segmented, flattenes, hoilowed interially, curverl and pointed distally. Legs ITI and IV normal with carruncle. Gen. Chitodiscus Trt. and Neum. 1890
is 1 egs $1[1$ and IV short and stumps, without caruncles. 3 -5-segmented almost vestigial. one anterior dirsal scitum. Gen. Labiducerepus Trt. 1895 $=$ Alabidocar'us Ewing 1929) 1,0gs inl and 1V long, whender with cartucles, 5 segmented, doubled forward heneath aldomen. One anterior dorsal scutum.
(ien. Noclabidocerpus (iunth. 194?
9 Legs IlI and IV similar in both sexes and ending in a transecrse dise, with 2 stont setae eal a stal segments.
(ien. Trichoctus (anestri $[8 \%$
$=$ Trichobius Can. 1897 pre. sec. Leg; 11 I and 1 V dissimilar in two sexes, not as above. No dorsal scuta. Palni i-segmented, apparently.

Gen. Myoroptis Clap. 1869
10 Coxac If separated. One anterior dorsal scutum. Coxac III dilated and fused together.

Gen. Listrophorms Pagenst 1861
Gen. Eurwhiroides 11n. for Eurvomus Trt. 1918 pre. occ.


Subfamily MYOCOPTINAE Gunther 1942
Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S.W., 67, (304), 109.
Geius Mrocortes Claparede 1869
Z. Wiss. Zool., 18, 532.
myocoptes musculines (. L. Koch 1844
Sarcoptes musculinus C. L. Koch 1844. C.M.A., fasc. 5, fig. 13. Myocoptes musculimus Can. and Kr. 1899, Das Tierrcich, Lfg. 7, 25.
(Fig. 1, A-H)
Numerous specimens of this European species were found on laboratory white mice by Mr. H. B. Carter of the MacMaster I aboratory, Sydney. The mice were of a strain introduced from England, and the effect of the mites was to produce a type of mange for which Mr. Carter proposes the name of "Myocoptic Mange."

As far as I have been able to ascertain the adult stages only have been described or figured. In the material sent to me for study the larval and nymphal stages are represented, and the oppormnity is taken to describe and figure the thrce stages in detail.

Description-Adnlt of (fig. 1. A, B). Tength $320 \mu$, width $160 \mu$. Dorsally with a pair of short setac on capitulum, on shoulders with a very long seta and anterior of this a short seta; on dorsum with 5 rows of 2 setae, the anterior row long and with a pair of short, fine sctac inside; the other four pairs of setae moderately long. Ventrally with one fairly long seta on coxa I and LII, a pair of about the same length between coxae III atnd 1 V , a short median pair between coxac IV, and also just anterior of anus; and a very long pair of setae subapically. The dorsal cuticle is transversly striated in the posterior fourth, anterior of which the striations become broken and roughly scaliform. Ventrally the cuticle is transversely striated but entirely lacks the fine points of the nymphs and larvae.

Adult ô (fig. I, C; D). Length $210 \mu$, width $155 \mu$, rather more ovate than in $\rho$. Dorsal and ventral setac much as in o, but the cuticle is only coarscly marked with striations. Ventrally with the setae also as in $\circ$; posteriorly with a pair of anal discs and two pairs of long extra setac. Leg IV stout and thick and differing from 111, which is similar to leg III and IV of 9 ; penis bent and 2-pronged; no ventral striations.

Nymph, 9 (fig. 1, E, F). Length $255 \mu$, width $160 \mu$. Dorsal and vential chaetotaxy as in adint of. Dorsmm longitudinally striated laterally and transversely so on posterior founth, medially with broken transverse lines. Ventrally longitudinally striated narrowly laterally, and in between with transverse lines with numerous fine points.
larva (fig. 1, G, II). Length $185 \mu$, width $130 \mu$, broadly oval, the third pair of legs modified for grasping hair. Dorsally the cuticle is longitudinally striated for it narrow widh all romnd, and medially with broken curved transverse lines. Ventrally with lateral longitudinal and medial transverse lines, both with fine points, as figured. Leg IV is indicated by a slight tubercle (cf. fig. 1. H). (hactotaxy simpler than in nymph.

Subfamily ATOPOMELINAE Gunther 1942
Proc. limn. Soc. N.S.W., 67, (3 and 4), 109.
Genus Marquesania nov.
Ferris, 1932, in describing his species Listrophoroides cxpansus from the Marquesas, was somewhat doubtful about the generic placing and had in mind

the possibility of a new genus being refuired. There are many points of difference between his species and the genotype of Listrophoroides (acthiopicus Hirst 1923), and I propose the above new genuss with Listrophoroides erpansus Ferris as genotype. It is to be separated from Listrophoroides I Iirst and the other genera of the subfamily as given in the key.

Marquesania expansa (Ferris 1932)
$=$ Listrophoroides expansus Ferris 1932, B. P. Bishop Museuni, Bull. 98.
The typical form of this species is discussed under the new variety qucenslandica. It has been admirably figured and described by Ferris.

Loc. and Hosts-Three specimens, conforming entircly with the description and figures, were collected from the debris of a jar containing bats in the South Australian Museum collections, numbered M4443-53, 3576, 50I and 500. No locality is given and even the host is doubtful. Fierris' material was from rats, and it is (quite possible that the spirit in which the bats were preserved may at some time have been poured off specinems of rats.

## var. queenslandica nov.

(F.g. 2, A-D)

Description-q. Length $430 \mu$, width $170 \mu$, elongate, flattened dorsally, with three lightly chitinised finely pitted scuta ( cf . fig. 2 A ), second and third scuta with irregular transverse lines. I'alpi 2-segmented, short and stout. Front legs modified for grasping hair; leg 1 with lateral sclerotisations, the onter sclerite on fennur somewhat as in M. expansa f.p., but apparently not as pronounced and not free; leg II with the terminal segment ending in two lateral anchor-like hooks: legs III and IV long, cylindrical and fairly slender; all legs with small caruncles. and without claws. Eyes absent. Dorsal setae small and few, as in fig. 2 A. Ventrally the coxae are in two groups. large and finely pitted, not striated as given for coxae I and II of expansa; a pair of small setae between coxac 1, a seta on cach of coxale II, three setae on coxae III, and a pair between coxae IV, a median pair anterior of anus. Genitalia between coxat Ill.
o. Length $345 \mu$, width $140 \mu$. Generally as in of but legs III and IV much stonter and the subbasal seta ont tarsi 11, III and IV longer and stronger and recurved. Subapically on venter are six clavate processes from which arise fine sctac, the ritedian one of cach three being the longest. Apex of abdomen slightly incised. Genitalia between coxae II and coxae IV (cf. fig. 2C).

Loc. and Host-Many specimens of both sexes from rats, (uvensland; Cowan Cowan, September 1938, on Ratus youngi, (Smith); (airns, 1939, on rat (No. 6) (TV. G. Heaslip).

Romarks-This form, which at the present time I an not relegating to more than a variety of $M$, expanse Ferris from the Marquesas and? Australia, differs mainly in the lack of striations on coxae 1 iund II and the apparent absence of a pronotnced tooth on the femur of leg 1 .

Genus Austrochirus now.
Flongate. dorsally compressed, with only an anterior chitimised sentum. [egs I and II curved inwards and modified for grasping hair. Coxac in two groups, cpimera meeting in mid-linc in all pairs. T.eg IV of of very much stouter than in $\circ$. Caruncles present on all tarsi. (ienotype Austrochirus queenslandicus n . sp.


## Austrochirus queenslandicus 11. sp. <br> (Fig. 3, A-G)

Description-9. Length $515 \mu$, width $200 \mu$; elongate, slightly wider in anterior half. Palpi 2- (? 3-) segmented (cf. fig. 3 G). Legs I and II fairly short, apical segments strongly sclerotised laterally to form rounded knobs for grasping hair, metatarsus (?) with long recurved scta; legs III and IV somewhat longer, scgments cylindrical, tarsi apically rather tapering. Coxae large, touching medially and partially pitted, not striated. Genitalia between coxate [V. Dorsum anteriorly with a chitinised pitted scntim occupying the whole width of animal and extending posteriorly to end of coxac II, with two paits of fine setae; rest of dorsum coarscly transversely striated. Ventrally as in fig. 3 B , a pair of sctae on coxae I and III, a pair between coxac II and 111 and between coxae $1 \bigvee^{\circ}$; on the venter the dorsal striations become scale-like. Apex of abdomen slightly incised and with two long setae and a pair of small ones.
t. As in 오 but a little smaller. I ength $480 \mu$, width $170 \mu$. The transverse dorsal striations end at about the level of coxae III, thereafter they are longitudinal. Ventrally there are two pairs of sctae on coxae III. Genitalia between coxae IV. Leg IV is very much stouter than in the female (fig. 3D). A pair of small anal discs on each side of the anal opening.

Loc. and Hosts- $A$ number of specimens from a possum. Brishane, (Succusland, 1938 (Smith).

Remarks-That this species will not fit into any known genus of Listrophoridae and requires a new one will be evident from the key to subfamilies and genera.

## Subfanily LABIDOCARPINAE Gunther 1942

J'roc. Linn. Soc. N.S.V., 67, (3 and 4), 109, 1943.
Genus Labimocarpus Trt. 1895
Bull. Soc. cnt. France, 38, 1895. Type L. rollinati Trt. 1895). = Alabidocarpus Ewing 1929, 188. (Type L. megalonys Trt. 1895).

## Labidocarpus recurvus $n$. sp.

(Fig. 4, A-C)
Description-9. Laterally compressed. Length $620 \mu$, depth $205 \mu$. Wiih an anterior chitinised scutun. Abdomen posterion of scutun strongly transversely striated. I.egs I and II short and stumpy, modified for grasping hair, segmentation indistinct, thickened and flattened; legs III and IV long, $\overline{5}$-segmented, tarsus provided with a long curved claw, and two spurs on III, one spur on IV, tibia with a long apical recurved seta. Apically on the abdomen with a pair of long and a pair of short setae; on the shoulders between coxat II and III a long seta.
loc. and Hosts-A single specinien from Bathurst, New South Nales, 15 March 1934 (A. S. Allman), on the hair of a bat.

Remarks-This species would come into Ewing's genus Alabidocarpus as differcntiated by the presence of a pair of spurs on the tarsus of leg III. but this separation does not seem to be justified as suggested by Gunther, 1942. It comes nearest to L. nasicolus Lawrence 1938 from Natal, but differs in that the spurs of tarsus II are only one-fifth the length of claw instead of nearly one-half, Like
nasicolus it is intermediate in size between megalonys Trt. and minor Trt. from the European horse-shoc bat. Gunther, in his key (1942), also separates Neolabidocarpus from Labidocarpus in that it has five- and not three-segnenterd legs III and IV. In both nasicolus and the above new species are these legx.


Fig. + Labidocarpus recurvus sp.1n.: A, lateral view oi 9 ; R, tarsus IV: C, tarsus III.
although not slender and not provided with caruncles as in Ncolabidocarpus, with five very distinct segments. In this respect they differ from the European species which, as figured by earlier workers, have only 3 -scgmented legs III and IV:

Subfamily LISTROPHORINAE Gunther 1942
Froc, Linn. Soc. N.S.W., 67, (3 and 4). 109, 1942.
Gentis Listroiphorus Pagenstecher 1861
Z. Wirs. Zool, 11, 105, 156, 1861. (Genotype L. gibbut Pgst., 1861.)

Listrophorls (imbles Pagenstecher 1861

Description- 9. Broadly oval, length $430 \mu$, width $275 \mu$. Dorstme with one anterion scutum (fig. 5 A ), behind which it is strongly transversely striate, apex more or less rounded. apparently devoid of setae. Ginathosoma broadly truncate. Legs short, not specially modified, tarsi all with caruncles. Ventrally striated as in 51). Coxac non-striate.
8. Rather narrower than in $q$. the dorsal scutum somewhat longer. Posteriorly produced in a more or less parallel-sided and apically bifurcate process (cf. fig. $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ), with a pair of anal discs. Genitalia between coxae IIl and IV: 1.egs relatively longer and somewhat stonter than in of. All tarsi with carnucles; tibiac II with a long apical seta. I ength $500 \mu$, width $205 \mu$.


Fig. 5 Listrophoms gibbus Pgst.: A, $q$ dorsal; B, $q$ ventral; C, of dorsal; D. óventral.
Loc. and Host-This species occurs in the fur of rabbits and is undoubtedly introduced from Furope. I have specinens as follows:-Australia: on rabbits. near Melbournc. Vict,, Nov. 1941 (V. M. M.). New Zealand: on Angora rabbits, Ashburton, 1935 (L. M.).

## Gentus Eurychiroides nom. nov.

This name is proposed for Euryonus Trt. 1918 (Bull. Soc. Zool. Fr, 42. 155), which is preoccupied by Euryouns Attens, 1900 (Densksskr. Akad. Wiss.. Wien. 68, 265), for a Myriapod.

